

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/785,349	02/24/2004	Antoine LaFont	S63.2-9776US02	8749		
490	7590 05/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
VIDAS, A	RRETT & STEINKRA	GHERBI, SUZETTE JAIME J				
6109 BLUI SUITE 200	E CIRCLE DRIVE 0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
MINNETO	NKA, MN 55343-9185	3738				
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						<u> </u>		
			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/785,349		LAFONT ET AL.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Suzette J Gherbi		3738			
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appe	ears on the cover s	heet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failum Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD AND ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provision BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply preceived by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. 30) days, a reply v tatutory period will y will, by statute, c	S(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimulation of the statutory of the statut	r, may a reply be time orm of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from t ecome ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 26 Ani	ril 2005					
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the 								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1,2,4-14 and 18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,14 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
9)□ 1	he specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)□ 1	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the dr	rawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected t	_	·			• •		
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	o by the Exa	minor. Note the at	Macrica Office /	Action of formal 1	0-102.		
•								
a)[:	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationse the attached detailed Office actions	documents documents of the priorit	have been receive have been receive y documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application been received	on No d in this National	Stage		
Attachment	s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Int	erview Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔯 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 5/21/04.		Pa 5) <u> </u>	per No(s)/Mail Dat	e tent Application (PTC	- 152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/785,349

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species A, figure 1 (claims 1-2 and 4-8) in the reply filed on 4/26/05 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mikus et al. 2002/0035391 which discloses the invention as claimed comprising: A method of treating a bodily vessel by inserting a catheter having a distal portion into a body vessel; the distal portion having an expandable region (14), an expandable stent (7) being disposed about at least a portion of the expandable region; advancing the distal portion to a desired location in the vessel and delivering heat to the stent during the expansion of the expandable region. See [0032; 0035-0036].

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/785,349 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mijus et al. 2002/0035391 in view of Guglielmi et al. 6,001,95 and further in view of Boylan et al. 2003/0187497. Gandhi et al. has been described however Gandhi utilizes a "working fluid" (see col. 6, lines 40-44) and does not specify the term "contrast agent" or a sten partially made of stainless steel. Guglielmi et al. teaches utilizing a contrasting agent in combination with a stent and catheter (see col. 13,lines 28-32). Boylan teaches that steel alloys are well known in the art [0007] It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a contrast agent (also known as a working liquid) because it would allow for observance of the expansion of the stent and the behavior of the vessel wall. It also would have been obvious to provide a stent partially made of steel (which are steel alloys) because they have been used in the past and are capable of heat expansion even if they are less flexible than Niti.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,349

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

Page 4

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Keilman et al. 6,585,763 and Tu et al. 6,319,251; Mathis 2002/0188347 (steel alloys); Wang 2003/0138577 (Mucha et al. 2004/0176838; and Besselink 2004/0193247 all show related material.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7. examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-Friday 9-6:30 off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 571-272-4751.
- 8. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306.
- Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or 9. proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

29 April 2005